UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/588,851	08/09/2006	Norihiko Amikura	294821US26PCT	1998	
22850 7590 09/18/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST		MOORE, KARLA A			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1792			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/18/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application	pplication No. Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commence	10/588,85	51	AMIKURA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		KARLA M	OORE	1792				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no evo on. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 August 2006						
′=	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	This action is n						
3)	/ —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥/ك	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	doi Ex parto Qu	ay,0, 1000 0. D . 11, 10	00.0.210.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election red	uirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		Objected to by the I	Examiner.				
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection to		-					
					FR 1.121(d).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	roign priority up	dor 25 11 S.C. S. 110/o	(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	reight phonty uni	del 33 0.3.0. § 119(a))-(u) 01 (1).				
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	monts have bee	n roccived					
	<u> </u>			on No				
					l Ctaga			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•		ed in this National	Stage			
+ (application from the International Bu	•		.1				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species 1: A semiconductor processing apparatus comprising a plurality of processing chambers each with a corresponding gas supply system wherein each of the gas supply systems includes a primary-side connection unit connected to gas sources of predetermined gases, the primary-side connection unit being disposed beneath the corresponding ones of the processing chambers, as illustrated in Figure 1.

Species 2: A semiconductor processing apparatus comprising a plurality of processing chambers each with a corresponding gas supply system wherein each of the gas supply systems includes a primary-side connection unit connected to gas sources of predetermined gases, the primary-side connection unit being disposed underneath a removable floor panel of the room in which the apparatus is installed, the floor panel having a cover which is detachable for providing access to the primary-side connection unit, as illustrated in Figure 9.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

Application/Control Number: 10/588,851 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species 1: Claims 1-10. Species 2: Claims 11-20

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Species 2 lacks a special technical feature as evidenced by JP 2002-33513 and JP 08-312900.

Therefore, it is incapable if having a special technical feature in common with species 1.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

Application/Control Number: 10/588,851 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KARLA MOORE whose telephone number is (571)272-1440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571.272.1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,851 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Karla Moore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792